



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	Э.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/901,466		07/09/2001	Stephen J. Lippard	MTV-033.01	5664
25181	7590	06/04/2004		EXAMINER	
FOLEY I	,		CEPERLE	CEPERLEY, MARY	
PATENT (WORLD TRADE CEI 'D	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
BOSTON,	, MA 02	110	1641		
				DATE MAILED: 06/04/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/901,466	LIPPARD ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley	1641				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communica	tion appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA nasions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the provided period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuting to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after end patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. TOFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a repcation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (boy period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH, by statute, cause the application to become ABAI	oly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this communication. NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>11 March 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠	<i>'</i>	☐ This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5) <u>□</u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-19, 31-47</u> Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-11,20-30 and 48</u> is/are reject Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and 49 is/are withdrawn from consi	ideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
*	The specification is objected to by the E						
10)	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by		· · · · · ·				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachmen	t(s)						
	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Infor	te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTo r No(s)/Mail Date	=	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/901,466

Art Unit: 1641

- 1) Applicants' complete supplemental response of March 11, 2004 which addresses in detail all of the rejections of record with citations to relevant sections of the specification is acknowledged.
- 2) Although specific claims are referenced in the rejections set forth below, these rejections are also applicable to all other claims in which the noted problems/language occur.
 - 3) The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- *4)* Claims 1-11, 20-30 and 48 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.
 - a) For claim 1, the written description of the specification does not support the new generic definition of the variable "V", namely "V" defined as "a chemical moiety comprising (i) at least three Lewis bases that are capable of forming a tridentate chelating agent, wherein at least one of said three Lewis bases is a ring heteroatom of a heterocyclic group, or (ii) a secondary nitrogen atom double bonded to a carbon atom of A to from an imine wherein said secondary nitrogen atom is capable of forming a bidentate chelating agent with the oxygen atom of OZ or Q".

The examiner has carefully reviewed the specification, in particular the sections cited by the applicants in the fourth paragraph of page 25 of the March 11, 2004 response, but can find no support for the newly recited subgenus. Although certain compounds described in the specification may be encompassed by the newly defined subgenus (e.g. Fig. 8 describing a

Application/Control Number: 09/901,466

Art Unit: 1641

đ

compound wherein "V" contains an imine; Fig. 9, describing a particular "tridentate" compound) neither the description of these specific compounds nor the general description of "V" which appears at page 34, second paragraph through page 36, second paragraph constitutes a description adequate to support the subgenus newly defined in claim 1. See the following sections of the MPEP: 608.01(o); 608.04(a); 2163,IB. citing *In re Lukach* {442 F.2d 967, 169 USPQ 795 (CCPA 1971)} and *In re Smith* {458 F.2d 1389, 1395; 173 USPQ 679, 683 (CCPA 1972)}; 2163.05, II.

- b) There is no support in the specification for the term "D" as defined in formula III of claim 1. Applicants' comments in the March 11, 2004 Remarks which appear in the paragraph bridging pages 25 and 26 and in the first full paragraph of page 26 have been considered but are not persuasive. The comments relate to the lactone and free acid forms of fluorescein but the newly presented –CH₂CH₂- and –CH=CH- definitions of D do not relate to the lactone/free acid forms of fluorescein and are not definitions presented in the specification.
- c) No support can be found in the specification for the following variable definitions (see claim 1):
- (i) "A" defined as " $-CH_2C(=O)$ -", " $-CH_2C(=S)$ -", "-C(H)=" and "with the hydrogen atoms optionally substituted". See the first paragraph of page 32 of the specification.
 - (ii) "K" and "E" each defined as "aliphatic", "aralkyl", "aryl", "amido", "sulfonate", "sulfate", "sulfamoyl", "sulfoxido" and "selenoalkyl".
 - (iii) The "phenyl" moiety of "Z2" defined as "phenyl moiety is optionally substituted with one or more E...other than carboxylic acid". See page 31 of the specification, section 5.3.
 - (iv) The last definition appearing under "Z2" in claim 1, i.e., "said carbonyls are optionally a carbonyl other than carboxylic acid".

Application/Control Number: 09/901,466

Art Unit: 1641

5) Applicants' amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office

action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of

the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from

the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date

of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH

shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory

action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing

date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX

MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6) An inquiry of a general nature which is not related to the prosecution on the merits

should be directed to Technology Center 1600 telephone number (571) 272-1600. The general fax

number for the USPTO is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley whose telephone number is (571) 272-0813. The examiner can

normally be reached from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Long V. Le, can be reached on (571) 272-0823.

May 28, 2004

Mary E. Caperley Mary (Molly) E. Ceperley

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1641